FOR FLRA USE ONLY Case No.: Date Filed:

### Union Response to Agency Statement of Position on Petition for Review of Negotiability Issues for Use with Proposals

USE THIS FORM ONLY FOR NEGOTIABILITY DISPUTES WHERE THE UNION HAS SUBMITTED A BARGAINING PROPOSAL TO THE AGENCY AND THE PARTIES HAVE NOT REACHED AGREEMENT ON THE PROPOSAL AND ITS NEGOTIABILITY.

*Important Information*: This form is to be completed by the Union's representative in response to the Agency's statement of position. Section 2424.25 of the Authority's Regulations provides that the purpose of this response is to inform the Authority and the Agency why, despite the Agency's arguments in its statement of position, the contract language at issue is negotiable, and whether the Union disagrees with any facts or arguments in the Agency's statement of position.

Unless the time limit for filing has been extended pursuant to § 2424.23 or part 2429 of the Authority's Regulations, the Union must file this response within fifteen (15) calendar days after the date the Union receives a copy of the Agency's statement of position.

The information requested below may be provided on this form or in a separately created document. The form is available over the Internet, at www.flra.gov, in a format that can be completed using standard word processing software. If you have questions about completing this form, please contact the Authority's Office of Case Intake and Publication at (202) 218-7740.

## Part I – Background

- 1. Case Number:
- 1a. Case Caption:
- 2. Was there a post-petition conference in this case?

[]Yes []No

2a. If Yes, do you agree with the information contained in the record of the post-petition conference?

[] Yes [] No

2b. If No, explain and provide appropriate information in support of your explanation.

## **Part II – Response to Agency's Statement of Position**

Set forth the Union's position on any matters raised in the Agency's statement of position that it wishes the Authority to consider in reaching its decision, including a statement of the arguments and authorities supporting any position. You do not need to repeat information or arguments that are contained in your petition for review. In setting forth your response, answer questions 3 through 8, providing a separate copy of this page for each proposal that requires an explanation to those questions.

In setting forth your response, **answer questions 3 through 8, providing separate answers for each proposal** that requires an explanation to those questions. Where narrative/explanatory answers are required, for each question you may either: (1) type your complete response; or (2) type "see attached" and include your answers as an attachment. **If you type your answers on this form, then make a separate copy of questions 3 through 8 for each proposal.** 

The Union is responsible for raising and supporting arguments that a proposal is within the duty to bargain, within the duty to bargain at the Agency's election, or not contrary to law. Where the Union has claimed that severance is appropriate, the Union is also responsible for raising and supporting arguments why severance is appropriate. Failure to raise and support an argument will, where appropriate, be deemed a waiver of such argument. Failure to respond to an argument or assertion raised by the other party will, where appropriate, be deemed a concession to such argument or assertion.

For each argument, cite any law, rule, regulation, section of a collective bargaining agreement, or other authority relied on in your argument or referenced in the proposal. Attach to this response a copy of all such material, except for federal statutes, government-wide regulations, or judicial and administrative decisions.

If your statement is more than 10 pages long, you must include a table of contents.

Are copies of materials attached?

[] Yes [] No

If Yes, list the materials attached:

#### PROPOSAL

3. Do you disagree with any claim regarding the proposal made by the Agency in questions 7 through 11 of the Agency's statement of position?

[] Yes [] No

3a. If Yes, explain why and provide support for your explanation as to each claim with which you disagree. You do not have to repeat arguments that you already made in the petition for review.

4. If the Agency contends that the proposal interferes with a management right set out in 5 U.S.C. § 7106(a), does the Union claim that the proposal requires that the Agency comply with an applicable law within the meaning of 5 U.S.C. § 7106(a)(2)?

[] Yes [] No

4a. If Yes, identify the applicable law and explain how that law relates to the proposal.

5. Does the Union claim that the proposal concerns a matter negotiable at the election of the Agency under 5 U.S.C. § 7106(b)(1)?

[] Yes [] No [] Answered in Petition for Review

5a. If Yes, explain why and provide support for your explanation.

5b.	If Yes, explain which category (e.g., numbers, types and grades, technology) it concerns and why?		
6.	Does the Union claim that the proposal constitutes a negotiable procedure as set forth in 5 U.S.C. § 7106(b)(2)?		
	[] Yes [] No [] Answered in Petition for Review		
ба.	If Yes, explain why and provide support for your explanation.		
7.	Does the Union claim that the proposal constitutes an appropriate arrangement for employees adversely affected by the exercise of management rights, as set forth in 5 U.S.C. § 7106(b)(3)?		
	[] Yes [] No [] Answered in Petition for Review		
7a.	If Yes, explain why and provide support for your explanation. In your explanation, be sure to include the following:		
• Identify the management right(s) the proposal addresses.			
• Identify the employees who the Union asserts will be adversely affected by the exercise of the management right(s).			
• Describe the adverse effect and why it is adverse.			

•	Describe	the impact	of the proposal.
---	----------	------------	------------------

- Describe all those employees that the arrangement will impact and explain how it will help.
- Describe any employees who are not adversely affected by the exercise of the management right(s) that the proposal may impact.
- Describe how the arrangement is appropriate under Authority case law.
- 8. If the Agency is relying on *Agency* rule(s) or regulation(s) in its statement of position:
- 8a. Does the Union claim that the rule(s) or regulation(s) violate any applicable law, rule regulation, the parties' collective-bargaining agreement, or other appropriate authority outside the Agency?
  - [] Yes [] No
- If Yes, explain why and provide support for your explanation.
- 8b. Does the Union claim that the rule(s) or regulation(s) were not issued by the Agency or by any primary national subdivision of the Agency, or that they are otherwise not applicable to bar negotiations under 5 U.S.C. § 7117(a)(3)?
  - [] Yes [] No

If Yes, explain why and provide support for your explanation.

8c. If the Agency contends that a compelling need exists for the rule(s) or regulation(s), does the Union claim that such a need does not exist?

[]Yes []No

If Yes, explain why and provide support for your explanation.

# **Part III – Severance**

Severing a proposal into separate parts makes each part the subject of a separate negotiability determination. Severance requires that each part has independent meaning, and should be sought only where you have an interest in determining whether any of the separate parts is within the duty to bargain or is contrary to law even if the other part or parts is not.

9. If you have not previously indicated in the petition for review, do you wish to sever any part(s) of the proposal(s)?

[]Yes []No

9a. If Yes, specify which proposal(s) you wish to sever.

9b. If Yes, explain how each of the severed portions stands alone and would operate.

10. If the Agency, in its statement of position, has opposed a previous request for severance, and you disagree, explain why severance is appropriate despite the Agency's argument(s).

## **Part IV – Checklist with Statement of Service and Signature**

# All documents filed with the Authority must comply with the requirements set forth in part 2429 of the Authority's regulations.

A complete copy (including all attachments) of a Union response <u>must</u>:

- Be served by certified mail, first-class mail, commercial delivery, in person, or email (but only when the receiving party has agreed to be served by email) on:
  - (1) the Principal Agency Bargaining Representative at the negotiations; and
  - (2) the Head of the Agency (or designee). (5 C.F.R. §§ 2424.2(g), 2429.27(b))
- Contain a signed and dated statement of service with names and addresses of parties served, date of service, nature of document served, and method of service.
  (5 C.F.R. § 2429.27(c))
- Contain an original and four (4) complete and legible copies of all documents. (5 C.F.R. § 2429.25)
- Be addressed to:

Case Intake and Publication Federal Labor Relations Authority Docket Room, Suite 201 1400 K Street, NW Washington, D.C. 20424-0001

	STATEMENT OF SERVICE			
I certify that a complete copy of the Union's response, including all attachments, in the case of				
	[UNION] and			
<u> </u>	[AGENCY],			
	were filed with the Office of Case Intake and			
	Labor Relations Authority, Washington, D.C. by			
	[indicate method of service], and were sent this day to:			
	argaining Representative ining Representative is the individual who signed the Agency allegation.)			
Name:				
Title:				
Address:				
Phone:				
Method of Service:	[ ] certified mail			
	[ ] first-class mail			
	[ ] commercial delivery (type: Fed Ex, UPS, etc.)			
	[ ] personal delivery			
	[ ] other (please specify)			
<b>The Head of the Ag</b> Name: Title: Address:	ency (or designee)*/			
Phone:				
Method of Service:	[ ] certified mail			
	[ ] first-class mail			
	[ ] commercial delivery (type: Fed Ex, UPS, etc.)			
	[] personal delivery			
	[ ] other (please specify)			
Date	Name of filing party			
	Signature of Union's representative			
*/ E.g., for components of Secretary of Defense, or	of the U.S. Department of Defense, the Head of the Agency (or "Agency Head") is the his or her designee.			